

The Practice of Historical Ecology:

To be considered within the research program of historical ecology, to what degree do interdisciplinary collaborations have to be evident in research?

How do people globally engage with historical ecology? How is it practiced as a research in geographically diverse locales, by researchers from a multitude of nations?

How could we use historical ecology to challenge current ecological theoretical paradigms which limit addressing solutions in the Anthropocene period?

How do we practice, discuss, and conceptualize historical ecology without making it domagtic?

How could we use the historical ecology platform to raise awareness about the importance of writing research critically, to openly and constructively address the embedded positivist approach to science?

Do we need a distinct research program of historical ecology, or have the agendas and themes of historical ecology become so mainstream they no longer merit a separate research sphere?

If complex systems theory is now fully integrated and applied in ecology what now are the objectives of historical ecology?

Is historical ecology a toolkit, or a bag of methods? Is it a theory? A research practice? An umbrella under which different actors can conduct and integrate their research programs? Is historical ecology a theoretical framework or a methodological toolkit? Is historical ecology a collection of practices available to anyone doing research of an historical nature that wants to connect their concerns to issues of wider societal importance?

What/who are the institutions, disciplines, researchers, and communities currently interested in historical ecology, and how do we encourage interaction with them?

Can and should historical ecology compare itself to other activist disciplines e.g. feminist studies or gender studies?